

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,756	10/29/2003	Ralph W. Boyd	CE11719JSW	6680
24273	7590 07/03/2006		EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC			TRAN, CONGVAN	
INTELLECT	UAL PROPERTY SECTIO	N		
LAW DEPT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8000 WEST SUNRISE BLVD			2617	
FT LAUDERDAL, FL 33322			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/696,756	BOYD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	CongVan Tran	2617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
	Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 November 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	1				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,12 and 22</u> is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-11,13-21,23 and 24</u> is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	red.				
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	epted or b) objected to by the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ' No(s)/Mail Date	4)				

Application/Control Number: 10/696,756

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 5, 12 and 22 have been canceled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-21, and 24-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al. (2004/0180673) in view Baba et al. (2003/0050039).

Regarding claims 1-4, 6-7, Adams discloses a method of operating a wireless network for locating a misplaced mobile station and preventing unauthorized use (see abstract, [0051]; [0052]; [0057]). The method comprises sending a misplaced mobile station signal to the mobile station from the network. The misplaced mobile station controls the mobile station to start ringing or alert at a high volume; regardless of the alert preference (i.e., silent, vibrate) that the mobile station is in when misplaced mobile station signal is received. In other words the alert mode can be changed. (see [0057; [0071]; [0080]; [0090]). Adams discloses that the misplaced mobile station signal can be a text message; a data signal; a voice call from a special calling number; an email or a SMS message and further suggests the use of numerous formats (see [0052]; [0071]; [0080]; [0086]-[0087]).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,756

Art Unit: 2617

Adams differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to disclose the misplaced mobile station signal as a DTMF tone transmitted over a wireless communications channel at a wireless communication device.

Baba discloses transmitting a DTMF signal to a cellular phone over a wireless communication channel from the wireless device. The DTMF signal comprises a command for remote control of the cellular phone. For Example, DTMF "\*2" perform on/off control or a siren speaker. (See abstract; figs. 3-6; [0078]; [0110]).

Since Adams discloses the misplaced mobile station signal could be activated by pressing special keys (see [0074]-[0075]) modifying Adams to include DTMF signals/tones would have been obvious at the time of the invention. The reason for modifying Adams is for allowing the misplaced mobile station signal to be sent from various sources such as a landline phone or a computer by press a special keys.

Claims 8-11, 13-21, 23-24 are substantially the same as claims 1-4, 6-7 and should have been similarly rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CongVan Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-7871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Harold-Bank can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,756

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CONGVANT<u>RAN</u> PRIMARO EXAMINER CongVan Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2617

June 26, 2006.